Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/966,522	KRAHN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Pensee T. Do	1641	

	Pensee T. Do	1641			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 November 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE r).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 November 2009. A the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disn	nissal of the		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NOT				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	I and/or appellant fails	s to provide a		
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but see attachment. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:		
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other: notice of reference cited. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
_					
/Mark L. Shibuya/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1641					